would have never played a part in the campaign.

V. 16.

But what he did was, before he even got to that, he said, "Well, you know, I can put you in the Escambia County Jail. Are you afraid? We can put you in protective custody in the Escambia County Jail." And he did that to both of them individually.

And the woman said, "We're on the run now. We can keep doing it."

MR. NACHWALTER: Mr. Kinsey, can I ask you a question?

MR. KINSEY: Sure.

MR. NACHWALTER: First of all, I'm not a criminal defense lawyer. Okay. Second of all, my daughter was a prosecutor.

MR. KINSEY: Okay.

MR. NACHWALTER: But I don't do criminal work. I think the point of these charges is not whether Judge Green was a good guy or a bad guy, doing a good job or a bad job. The point of this, I think, of these charges, is whether or not in the campaign improper statements were made in connection with the campaign.

So a debate as to whether or not Judge Green was a bad judge doing a bad job is not the

point of any of this, or should have been replaced, or that your wife would do a much better job.

That's not the point of any of this. The point of this is whether or not a campaign for judge was conducted improperly. And I think that's the focus, at least from my perspective, of what these charges

MR. KINSEY: And we realize that.

MR. NACHWALTER: Now, you have, for example -- let me give you an example -- a pending case in front of a sitting judge, and you get into a debate about what the judge does in that case that is pending. What is he supposed to do back? He's got a case that's pending in front of him. And you're running a campaign. And a judge's campaign is not like a regular campaign.

He's got a case that's pending in front of him. He makes a ruling; he does something in that case. You're running for judge, and you think what he did was wrong, blah, blah, blah. What's he supposed to do when you get into that? He can't start debating the merits of that case back with you while he's got that case in front of him, can he?

MR. KINSEY: Well, first of all, none of these cases were in front of Judge Green at that

are.

Sugal

time, at the time of the campaign. 1 MR. NACHWALTER: Well, I thought that the 2 second charge is pending cases of two criminal 3 defendants, Johnson and Alsdorph. Were those not 4 5 pending cases? MR. KINSEY: They were pending cases, but 6 7 not in front of Judge Green. 8 MR. NACHWALTER: Okay. Well, should you be debating pending cases in front of anybody? 9 10 MR. KINSEY: Yes. MR. NACHWALTER: And what happens if you 11 12 become a judge and get that case? MR. KINSEY: You disqualify yourself. 13 MR. NACHWALTER: 14 Do you think that a judge's campaign is the same as a presidential 15 campaign; that, you know, it's all held --16 17 MR. KINSEY: No. THE CHAIR: No holds barred? 18 19 MR. NACHWALTER: -- no holds barred? 20 MR. KINSEY: No. 21 MR. NACHWALTER: Or do you think there are 22 some restrictions? 23 MR. KINSEY: There are definitely some 24 restrictions. 25 MR. NACHWALTER: What restrictions?

What's fair comment, and what's not? 1 2 MR. KINSEY: Well, for one thing, you can't comment on someone's political affiliation. 3 You can't comment upon things they had an obligation 5 to do, such as Judge Terrell defending people while he was an assistant public defender. 7 MR. NACHWALTER: You think the -- I wrote down what you said about the law enforcement: "We 8 had support of law enforcement for the most part." 9 10 MR. KINSEY: No, not for the most part; entirely, as best we could tell. 11 12 MR. MACHWALTER: You don't think there was a police officer anywhere in Pinellas County that 13 14 might have supported Judge Green? MR. KINSEY: Well, in Pinellas County, 15 maybe, yes. In Escambia County --16 17 MR. NACHWALTER: I'm sorry. Escambia 18 County. 19 MR. KINSEY: In Escambia County we 20 couldn't find one. But as far as brochures are concerned, it's obvious from reading the brochures 21 that what it's referring to is the endorsements from 22 the Police Benevolent Association and both lodges of 23

Had there been a law enforcement officer

the Fraternal Order of Police.

24

25

that supported Judge Green, Judge Green would have 1 2 put him up. 3 THE CHAIR: I wanted to ask --4 MR. MACDONALD: I'm sorry. I didn't hear 5 that. 6 MR. KINSEY: If there had been a law enforcement officer that supported Judge Green, I 7 think Judge Green would have put him up. 8 9 I wonder if I could I THE CHAIR: ask Judge Kinsey some questions? 10 11 MR. KINSEY: Sure. 12 THE CHAIR: Would that be 13 appropriate? 14 MR. KINSEY: Certainly. 15 THE CHAIR: Judge Kinsey, there are a couple of comments in this radio program that I 16 wanted to inquire about where I presumed that this 17 transcript is accurate, so let me know if it isn't. 18 MR. KINSEY: Well, we -- and I don't mean 19 to butt in. We don't know that, because we don't 20 have a tape of the program, and we don't know who 21 prepared the transcript. 22 23 THE CHAIR: Oh, okay. Well, this comment is made by Judge Kinsey, according to this 24 transcript, with regard to a comment on the sheriff 25

putting up tents. Do you recall that conversation, Judge Kinsey?

JUDGE KINSEY: I do.

THE CHAIR: Apparently Judge Green was called on to answer that question, and he said something to the effect of the federal courts don't allow you to use cots and what have you. And you raised the issue that "We're not giving Jim Lowman a chance to do his job." I presume that's the sheriff?

JUDGE KINSEY: That's our sheriff.

THE CHAIR: Okay. Judge Green had indicated that it was not his call; that judges had no -- county judges could not say you could put people in tents, you couldn't put people in tents. And the implication, from what I gather from this comment, is that you feel that it would be okay to comment on this from your perspective and that the implication was that you had authority or something to do with the cots and the sheriff using the cots for the criminals, which, as far I know, is not the case.

JUDGE KINSEY: I don't know why you get that impression from what happened.

THE CHAIR: Well, let me read you

what your response was.

JUDGE KINSEY: Okay.

Jim Lowman a chance to do his job. And that's what we've got to do, we've got to stop letting these violent criminals out on the street. We've got to show them we mean business. We have empty beds in Escambia County. We are renting out beds to the feds. They can go elsewhere; we can pick up extra beds. But, most of all, we don't have to coddle these criminals with their air conditioned rooms.

Now, I'm not going to accept the fact that if other states can do this, we cannot. If it's a federal mandate, it's a federal mandate for everyone, and we can deal with that.

"I very much take exception to the fact that Mr. Green says he's not a liberal. He very definitely is, and his record will show that." And then you go into the law enforcement.

And it seemed as though you were commenting on the fact that the sheriff, I guess, couldn't use cots pursuant to some federal mandate.

JUDGE KINSEY: No. The discussion that we were having had to do with whether or not a judge should take into consideration, in sentencing

someone or making a bond decision, whether or not 1 there are enough beds at the jail. And my comment 2 was that it's not my job to worry about whether 3 4 there are beds at the jail; my job is to do the 5 right thing and to treat each individual who comes before me on his own or her own merits. 6 Judge Green's position was: "My job is to 7 call the jail every morning before I go into first 8 9 appearances, find out how many beds there are, and make my decision based on how many beds there are." 10 11 My point was: "Let's let the sheriff do his job, let us do our job, and everything will work 12 13 out. If we don't give him" -- "him" being Sheriff Lowman -- "the opportunity to do his job, then we'll never know. And that was the whole gist of that conversation." THE CHAIR: Well, you also talked about the pity committee. JUDGE KINSEY: Yes. THE CHAIR: And, of course, Judge Green also had made reference to the pity committee. And it was my understanding that that was part of

24 JUDGE KINSEY: It is.

the discussion that went on.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

1000

THE CHAIR: And the pity committee,